

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad ark Office Address: COMMISSIO. ... OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

U.S. GPO: 1998-404-496/40517

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on			<u> </u>
EXAMER & JACKSON 411 HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK NJ 07601 1646 DATE MAILED: 04/27/99 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under £x parts Cluryle, 1935 D.C. 11: 463 O.G. 213. International distinctory period for responses to this action is set to expire	APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY, DOCKET NO.
ALCAUBER 8. JACKSON 411 HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK NJ 07601 1646 DATE MAILED: 0,4/27/99 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex patra Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11, 453 O.C. 213. Monthead Statuloup period for response to this action is set to expire	08/783,734 01/16	3/97 FRIEDMAN	. J 600-1-162CP2
ALL ALDER 2. JACKSON 411 HACKENSACK AYENUE HACKENSACK NJ 07601 1646 DATE MAILED: OA/27/99 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merita is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Caryle, 1935 D.C. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Monthered statutory period for response to this action is set to expire			EXAMINER
ALL ALDER 2. JACKSON 411 HACKENSACK AYENUE HACKENSACK NJ 07601 1646 DATE MAILED: OA/27/99 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merita is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Caryle, 1935 D.C. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Monthered statutory period for response to this action is set to expire		HM22/0427	
A11 HACKENSACK NJ 07601 Inch ACKENSACK NJ 07601 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on			DRAGATUNTG PAPER NUMBER
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on			11
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. hortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, chever is longer, from the malling date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CPR 36(a). position of Claims Claim(s)	HACKENSACK NJ 0760)1	1646
This is a communication from the examinor in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARNS OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. hortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, chever is longer, from the malling date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause application become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 36(a). position of Claims Claim(s)		1	
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on	7 . /		04/27/99
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on	AR L	existing they	
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This is a communication from the examine	er in charge of your application.	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TR	IADEMARKS	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		OFFICE ACTION SUMMAF	RY · · · ·
This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. hortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire			
This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. hortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. hortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	••		
accordance with the peractice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 1; 483 O.G. 213. hortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire			
hortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	Since this application is in condition t	for allowance except for formal matters, pro-	secution as to the merits is closed in
chever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response with a papication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 36(a). position of Claims Claim(s)		· /	
chever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response with a papication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 36(a). position of Claims Claim(s)	hortened statutory period for response	e to this action is set to expire	month(s), or thirty days,
Josephilon of Claims Claim(s)	ichover is longer from the mailing date	e of this communication. Failure to respond t	within the period for response will cause a obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR
Is/are pending in the application of Claims Is/are withdrawn from consideration Is/are vipicted Is/are vipicted to Is/are vipicted Is/are vipicted		35 0.3.0. § 133). Extensions of time may be	
claim(s)	• •		•
Of the above, claim(s)	sposition of Claims		
Of the above, claim(s)	Claim(s)	·	is/are pending in the application.
Claim(s)			
Claim(s)			
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on	Claim(s)		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on	Claim(s)		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on	Claim(s)		are subject to restriction of ordered requirements
The drawing(s) filed on	plication Papers		
The drawing(s) filed on	One the attached blatics of Droftonor	mon's Patent Organing Review PTO-948	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	The drawing(s) filed on	is/are o	bjected to by the Examiner.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). tachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drayding Review, PTO-948			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). tachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Draying Review, PTO-948			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All			
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). tachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	•		
received. received In Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received In this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). tachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		i for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d). 158 ⁵
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). tachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Acknowledgment is made of a claim		
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). tachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drayding Review, PTO-948		the CERTIFIED copies of the priority docume	ents have been
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). tachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of t	the CERTIFIED copies of the priority docum	ents have been
Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). tachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	All Some None of t		•
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). tachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	All Some* None of the received.	ries Code/Serial Number)	
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drayling Review, PTO-948	All Some* None of to	ries Code/Serial Number)	
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drayling Review, PTO-948	All Some* None of the received. received in Application No. (Ser received in this national stage at	ries Code/Serial Number)	
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	All Some* None of the received. received in Application No. (Ser received in this national stage at *Certified copies not received:	ries Code/Serial Number)application from the International Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	All Some* None of the received. received in Application No. (Ser received in this national stage at *Certified copies not received:	ries Code/Serial Number)application from the International Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	All Some* None of the received. received in Application No. (Ser received in this national stage at *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim	ries Code/Serial Number)application from the International Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).
Interview Summary, PTO 413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	All Some* None of the received. received in Application No. (Ser received in this national stage at the received copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim tachment(s)	ries Code/Serial Number) application from the International Bureau (PC 	T Rule 17.2(a)).
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	All Some* None of the received. received in Application No. (Ser received in this national stage at *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim tachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	ries Code/Serial Number)application from the International Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).
	All Some* None of the received. received in Application No. (Ser received in this national stage at the received in this national stage at the received received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim tachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s)	ries Code/Serial Number)application from the International Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).
Notice of Informal Patent Application: PTO-152	All Some* None of the received. received in Application No. (Ser received in this national stage at the received in the rec	ries Code/Serial Number)application from the International Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).
	All Some* None of the received. received in Application No. (Ser received in this national stage at the received in the received	ries Code/Serial Number) application from the International Bureau (PC n for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	T Rule 17.2(a)).

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Application/Control Number: 08/783734 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

1. No attempt was made to require a telephone election, as applicants have previously requested that Written restrictions be issued in these applications.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-14, 63, 66, drawn to various variant Ob receptor proteins, several point mutations and various compositions, classified in classes 530 and 514 or possibly 424, subclasses 350, 2+ and 401 respectively.
 - II. Claims 15-19, drawn to antigenic fragments and derivative thereto (Polymer conjugates), classified in classes 530, 424 subclasses 326+ and 185.1 respectively.
 - III. Claims 20-28, 34-48, 51-52, drawn to nucleic acids (NA) encoding Ob receptors (ObR), vectors, host cells and methods of making the variant forms of the OBR, classified in classes 435 and 536, subclasses 69.1+ and 23.5 respectively.
 - IV. Claims 29-33, 49-50 drawn to various partial nucleic acid pieces, such as oligo's (various different oligo's), antisense and ribozymes, classified in classes 514 and 536, subclasses 44+ and 24.3+ respectively-depending on the length and use of these products.
 - V. Claims 53-58 drawn to antibodies to ObR and hybridoma, classified in classes 530, subclass 388.22 and 70.21.
 - VI. Claims 59-62, drawn to methods of measuring leptin in various samples using antibodies, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - VII. Claims 64-65, drawn to methods of treating weight disorders such as obesity using OB-R compositions, classified in classes 514, subclasses 2+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the

Application/Control Number: 08/783734

Art Unit: 1646

instant case there are no specific claims to methods of preparing the obR using the NA, vectors and host cells of Group III; however, the obR or Group I can be made by a materially different process other than with the use of the NA, vectors and host cells of Group III such as by chemical synthesis, or the isolation from nature using various isolation/purification/chromatographic procedures. Further, the NA of Group III can be used other than to make the protein of Group I, such it their use as probes, or their use in various diagnostic procedures or in various therapeutic procedures.

It is further pointed out that although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for multiple/different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because the products appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions. The inventive products of Groups I, II, III, IV and V are directed to products that are structurally, physically and functionally distinct and determined to be patentable they would also be patentably distinct. Furthermore, these products are not required one for the other.

In a similar manner to the above, it is pointed out that although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for multiple/different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because the methods appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions. The inventive methods of Groups III, VI and VII are directed to various diagnostic and therapeutic methods that require the use of different steps/methods; elements/agents that are physically and functionally distinct; there are different starting elements and the final outcome/results are different for these different methods that cover various diagnostics and therapeutic methods. Furthermore, these methods are not required one for the other.

Inventions Group I and Group VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the protein can be used in a materially different

Application/Control Number: 08/783734 Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

method such as its use as a probe, to make the antibodies of Group V, or in various diagnostic or other therapeutic methods.

Inventions Group V and Group VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibodies can be used in materially different method/processes as its use as in various diagnostics, immunoaffinity chromatography, as probes, or therapeutic methods.

3) IN THE EVENT APPLICANTS ELECT THE INVENTIONS OF GROUPS III OR IV, APPLICANTS ARE FURTHER REQUIRED TO ELECT AN ULTIMATE SPECIE AS FOLLOW:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A) If Group I is elected, then applicants are required to elect a **single** point mutation for examination with the five variant Ob-R (selection from the mutations listed in claim 14. It is further pointed out that in view of the fact that each of the five variant forms will be examined together, inclusive of Ob-R that are made up of different part of these five receptor, and those that have different N and/or C-terminal amino acid sequences; and because there are several point mutations, only one point mutation will be examined with the remaining claims if this group is elected.
- B) If Group IV is elected, then applicants may be required to elect an oligo from the various ones listed in claim 32.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

Application/Control Number: 08/783734

Art Unit: 1646

to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic for A or B listed above, but rather claims 14 and 32 represent a Markush group for the mutant Ob-R or oligo's respectively.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications which are not co-extensive. And there are different issues for the search and examination of each group, which would be extremely burdensome, accordingly, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5) The communication filed 8-30-96 is not fully responsive to the communication mailed 5-7-96 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With The Sequence Rules or CRF Diskette Problem Report.

Art Unit: 1646

Since the response appears to be *bona fide*, but through an apparent oversight or inadvertence failed to provide a complete response, applicant is required to complete the response within a TIME LIMIT of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the date of this letter or within the time remaining in the response period of the communication mailed 5-7-96, whichever is longer (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

No extension of this time limit may be granted under either 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b), but the statutory period for response set in the communication mailed 5-7-96 may be extended up to a maximum of SIX (6) MONTHS under 37 CFR 1.136.

NO EXTENSION OF TIME CAN APPLY FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE SEQUENCE RULES SINCE THE INITIAL STATEMENT ISSUED TO APPLICNATS FOR SUCH WAS 5-7-96, THUS THE POTENTIAL SIX MONTH EXTENSION PERIOD HAS ALREADY EXPIRED.

5) Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Garnette D. Draper at

telephone number (703) 308-4232.

GARNETTE D. DRAPER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800